For the Northern District of California

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IN THE UNITED STA	TES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN D	ISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
SAN JOSE	E DIVISION
Mary Jo Meek, derivatively on behalf of the	NO. C 06-07434 JW
Agile Software Corporation,	Related cases:
Donald Skeans, derivatively on behalf of the	C 07-00019 JW C 07-00542 JW
Agile Software Corporation,	C 07-00342 JW C 07-00673 JW
Steven Rossen, derivatively on behalf of the Agile Software Corporation,	ORDER CONSOLIDATING CASES AND
Brenda Hepfer, derivatively on behalf of the Agile Software Corporation,	APPOINTING LEAD PLAINTIFFS AND LEAD COUNSEL
Plaintiffs, v.	
Byron Stolle, et al.,	
Defendants,	
and,	
Agile Software Corporation,	
Nominal Defendant.	

Presently before the Court is a Stipulation and Proposed Order Consolidating Cases and Appointing Lead Plaintiffs and Lead Counsel. (See Docket Item No. 24.) The related shareholders' derivative actions were filed on behalf of nominal defendant Agile Software Corporation ("Agile Software"); they are: Meek v. Stolle, et al., C 06-07434 JW (filed December 5, 2006), Skeans v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00019 JW (filed on January 3, 2007), Rosen v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00542 JW (filed on January 26, 2007), and Hepfer v. Stolle, et al., C 07-00673 JW (filed February 1, 2007).

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Upon review, the Court adopts the parties' Stipulation and further ORDERS as follow: (1)
the Related Derivative Cases consolidated; (2) Plaintiffs Mary Jo Meek and Steven Rosen appointed
Lead Plaintiffs; (3) Schiffrin Barroway Topz & Kessler, LLP and Lerach Coughlin Stoia Geller
Rudman & Robbins LLP appointed Co-Lead Counsel. Accordingly, the Court VACATES the
hearing on the motion to consolidate presently scheduled for March 19, 2007.

The Clerk shall consolidate these actions such that the earlier filed action, C 06-07434 JW, is the lead case. All future filings shall be filed in C 06-07434 JW and bear the caption: "In Re Agile Software Corporation Derivative Litigation."

A hearing on Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss is set for October 1, 2007 at 9 AM. If Defendants do not file a motion to dismiss, the Court will conduct a further case management conference on that date at the 10:00 a.m.

Pursuant to the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995, the Court ORDERS all discovery STAYED pending Defendants' anticipated motion to dismiss. See In re Altera Corp. Derivative Litigation, 06-03447 JW, 2006 WL 2917578 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 11, 2006).

To the extent that it is applicable, the Consolidated Complaint shall comply with the following Structure Regarding Complaint Governed by Private Securities Litigation Reform Act.

I. ALLEGED UNTRUE STATEMENTS OF A PAST OR THEN-CURRENT **MATERIAL FACT**

- 1. **First alleged untrue statement of a material fact.** [Include a quotation of the precise statement which the Plaintiff alleges was made. If multiple parts of a statement are involved, treat each part as a separate statement.]
 - **Date of the statement:** a.
 - b. **Recipient:** [Describe the person or entity to whom the statement was made and how the recipient recorded or captured the statement.]

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

c.	Identity of author: [State the basis for attributing statement
	to the alleged author. If the identity of the author is unknown
	so state, and state all identifying information known to the
	Plaintiff as of the time of the pleading.]

- d. **True Facts:** [Set forth in detail the alleged true status of all allegedly untrue matters contained in the statement and state how the Plaintiff knows (or why the Plaintiff believes) that its allegation of the true status was, in fact, the true status.]
- e. **Scienter:** [Set forth the Plaintiff's allegations of what the author knew or believed at the time the author made the untrue statement.]
- f. Factual basis for scienter: [Set forth the facts on which the Plaintiff bases its allegation that the author knew or believed the true facts at the time the author made the untrue statement.]
- g. **Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:** [If the Plaintiff alleges that there was no individualized effect, it is permissible to allege effect on Stock Price in Section H.]

h. Loss causation:

- 1. **Date of loss:**
- 2. **Nature of loss:** [e.g. Whether Plaintiff purchased stocks at the inflated price or sold stocks at a price negatively impacted by the alleged material misstatements or omissions and connection of loss to conduct described above in Sections a-f.]
- 3. Extent of loss:

1	2. Secon	nd alleged untrue statement of a material fact.
2	a.	Date of the statement:
3	b.	Recipient:
4	c.	Identity of author:
5	d.	True Facts:
6	e.	Scienter:
7	f.	Factual basis for scienter.
8	g.	Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
9	h.	Loss causation:
10		1. Date of loss:
11		2. Nature of loss:
12		3. Extent of loss:
13	II. ALLEGED	UNTRUE FORWARD-LOOKING STATEMENTS UNACCOMPANIED
14	BY MEANI	NGFUL CAUTIONARY LANGUAGE
15	1. First	alleged untrue forward-looking statement of a material fact.
16	a.	Date of the statement:
17	b.	Recipient:
18	c.	Identity of author:
19	d.	True Facts:
20	e.	Scienter:
21	f.	Factual basis for scienter:
22	g.	Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
23	h.	Loss causation:
24		1. Date of loss:
25		2. Nature of loss:
26		3. Extent of loss:
27		
28		4

1		2.	Second alleged untrue forward-looking statement of a material fact.
2			a. Date of the statement:
3			b. Recipient:
4			c. Identity of author:
5			d. True Facts:
6			e. Scienter:
7			f. Factual basis for scienter:
8			g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
9			h. Loss causation:
10			1. Date of loss:
11			2. Nature of loss:
12			3. Extent of loss:
13	III. ALLEGED OMISSIONS TO STATE A PAST OR THEN-CURRENT MATERIAL		
14		FAC	WHICH SHOULD HAVE BEEN MADE IN ORDER TO MAKE A
15		STAT	EMENT MADE NOT MISLEADING
16		1.	First alleged misleading statement or omission of a material fact.
17			[Include a quotation of the precise statement which the Plaintiff alleges was
18			made. If multiple parts of a statement are involved, treat each part as a
19			separate statement.]
20			a. Date of the statement or omission:
21			b. Recipient: [Describe the person or entity to whom the
22			statement or omission was made and how the recipient
23			recorded or captured the statement.]
24			c. Identity of person responsible for making the misleading or
25			omitted statement alleged: [State the basis for attributing
26			statement to the alleged author. If the identity of the author is
27			unknown, so state, and state all identifying information known
28			to the Plaintiff as of the time of the pleading.]

1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	/.
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
/ X	

d.	Omitted Facts: [Set forth in detail the alleged complete status		
	of all matters which were omitted from the misleading		
	statement and how those matters would have prevented the		
	statement from being misleading and state how the Plaintiff		
	knows (or why the Plaintiff believes) that its allegation of the		
	complete status was, in fact, the true status.]		

- e. **Scienter:** [Set forth the Plaintiff's allegations of what the author knew or believed at the time the author omitted the misleading statement.]
- f. Factual basis for scienter: [Set forth the facts on which the Plaintiff bases its allegation that the author knew or believed the omitted facts at the time the author made the misleading statement.]
- g. Effect, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
- h. Loss causation:
 - 1. **Date of loss:**
 - 2. **Nature of loss:**
 - 3. Extent of loss:

1	2. Secon	d alleg	ed misleading statement or omission of a material fact.
2	a.	Date	of the statement:
3	b.	Recip	pient:
4	c.	Identi	ity of person responsible for making the misleading or omitted
5		staten	nent alleged:
6	d.	Omit	ted Facts:
7	e.	Scient	ter:
8	f.	Factu	al basis for scienter:
9	g.	Effect	t, if any, on the stock price or on the market:
10	h.	Loss	causation:
11		1.	Date of loss:
12		2.	Nature of loss:
13		3.	Extent of loss:
14			
15	Dated: March 15, 20	07	JAMES WARE
16			United States District Judge
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

THIS IS TO CERTIFY THAT COPIES OF THIS ORDER HAVE BEEN DELIVERED TO:

Alan R. Plutzik aplutzik@bramsonplutzik.com David Priebe david.priebe@dlapiper.com Monique Winkler Monique W@lerachlaw.com Shawn A. Williams shawnw@lerachlaw.com Travis E. Downs travisd@lerachlaw.com William S. Lerach e file sf@lerachlaw.com **Dated: March 15, 2007** Richard W. Wieking, Clerk /s/ JW Chambers Elizabeth Garcia **Courtroom Deputy**